

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

> P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,505 05/04/2001		5/04/2001	Gregory J. Wilson	291958157US1 8691		
25096	7590	10/14/2004		EXAMINER		
PERKINS	COIE LLI	P	PATEL, RAMESH B			
PATENT-SI	ΞA					
P.O. BOX 1:	247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 9811	11-1247	2121			

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	, ,			
		09/849,505	į	WILSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ramesh B.		2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	'SS			
A SH THE - External afternal a	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAN INCOME. IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICAN INCOME. IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will l, by statute, cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 September 20	004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 26-33 and 44-46 is/are pendidal (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 26-33 and 44-46 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cone	sideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers	1						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documer al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachmer	ıt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	ΓO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/849,505

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 are presented for examination. Claims 1-25, 34-43 and 47-57 are canceled as being nonelected claims and claims 26-33 and 44-46 are being elected without traverse as stated in the amendment filed on 9/27/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 44, line 7, the phrase "such as" and/or "such that" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Dependent claims, which are not particularly rejected, are rejected based on the rejected base claim.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/849,505

Art Unit: 2121

Double Patenting

- 3. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 of this application conflict with claims 27-34 and 42-47 of Application No. 09/866,391. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application.

 Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 27-34 and 42-47 of copending Application No. 09/866,391. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/849,505

Art Unit: 2121

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-6673 (new phone number after 10/14/2004 is 571-272-3688). The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179 (new phone number after 10/14/2004 is 571-272-3687). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh B. Patel
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2121